

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/828,779                        | 04/21/2004  | Robert P. Bourdelais | 85924PAL                | 5242             |
| 7590 12/08/2006                   |             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| Paul A. Leipold                   |             |                      | NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L   |                  |
| Patent Legal Sta<br>Eastman Kodak |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 343 State Street                  |             |                      | 1772                    |                  |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201          |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |                       | Applicant(s)      |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/828,779      |                       | BOURDELAIS ET AL. |  |
|                 | Examiner              | Art Unit          |  |
|                 | Patricia L. Nordmeyer | 1772              |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 1120</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the followin time periods:   |         |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |         |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN   | In      |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |         |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | e<br>as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |         |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |         |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);   |         |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |         |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Attached sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |         |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |         |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.   |         |
| <u> </u>  | •       |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | ıe      |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |         |
| Claim(s) allowed: None.   |         |
| Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,8-19 and 25</u> .  |         |
| Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-3,3,6,6-79 and 23.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-24</u> .  |         |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |         |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered   |         |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d       |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  |         |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |         |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Attached Sheet.   |         |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |         |
| 13. Other:  |         |
|   |         |

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the double patenting rejection of claims 1 - 19 and 25 with the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Continuation of #3: Applicant's has amended restricted claim 20 by adding proposed new limitations "according to claim 1" and "wherein said compliant carrier sheet comprises at least one voided layer and said compliant carrier sheet comprises a release layer between said adhesive and said voided layer", which requires a new search and/or further consideration.

Continuation of #11: The application is not placed in condition because: Applicant has amended claim 20 to depend from claim 1. Claim 20 was previously withdrawn due to a restriction requirement. The amendment to claim 20 would require a new search and/or further consideration. Also, Applicant's arguments are drawn to proposed claim amendments, which are not being entered. Thus, the arguments are not in scope with the claims. Specifically, the applicant's arguments drawn to the limitations according to claim 1" and "wherein said compliant carrier sheet comprises at least one voided layer and said compliant carrier sheet comprises a release layer between said adhesive and said voided layer" have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are not commensurate in scope with the claims of record. Applicant's arguments of record are not found persuasive because thy rely on non-entered amendments. Applicant is referred back to the final rejection of record mailed on August 15, 2006.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 10/828,779 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 10:00-7:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner

Art Unit 1772

pln

NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER